the disease. Akin to wearing masks, social distancing successfully reduces the risk of infection. The results of a survey in 58 cities in China showed that the epidemic would have been more severe if social distance had been delayed by one day [15]. Likewise, lockdowns essentially stop the spread of the disease as a way of preventing people with the disease from moving around. Although such a policy would be economically devastating, it would stop the movement of infected people, thereby reducing the cost of an outbreak. The actual situation in Wuhan supports the conclusion [18]. The above measures have a common goal, that is, separating susceptible individuals from infected individuals as soon as possible. In other words, these measures reduce the contact rate between susceptible and infected individuals. A vital aspect of stopping the pandemic is ensuring that healthcare resources are allocated effectively and sufficiently. A growing body of literature on resource distribution has offered insightful advice based on the results of research [22±25]. When resources for masks are limited, prioritized coverage of the elderly is the optimal strategy, rather than a random distribution [22]. In addition, limited vaccine resource distribution is of primary importance. In almost all circumstances, reducing fatalities required distributing the vaccine to older adults who are most at risk of death [24]. Among these resources, the factors related to the medical system can not be ignored in that its capacity to prevent the spread of pandemic diseases is also crucial. If the healthcare system is broken, the epidemic would bring worse results [26]. Using linear and mixed-integer programming models, the authors found that an optimal configuration could reduce cases in New Jersey, Texas, and Miami by at least 85% [27].

The recent emergence of mutated viruses has brought a major test to humans' fight against the epidemic and would bring a more overwhelming wave of the pandemic if some measures could not be carried out better. In such circumstances, how to make the healthcare system operate more efficiently and effectively factors in different populations are ignored; (iii) The re-infected process is ignored [37]; (iv) Modeling the early stage of COVID-19 ignores vaccinated individuals and reinoculate individual.

In this paper, we build a game-based SEAIHRD compartment model to characterize the propagation dynamics of COVID-19. In a healthcare system that has two states: normal operation and collapse, an individual has a large probability of going to the hospital when it's running normally; otherwise, it's little. When the healthcare system is at normal running, the patient can get effective treatment. The healthcare system being collapse means the existing medical system and medical resources cannot meet the needs of patients and can not cope with the outbreak, and a state that is far beyond the saturation or tolerance limit present, thus causing the epidemic to be out of control. Thus, we introduce a parameter *m* to represent individual motivation to seek medical care. This parameter can display the difference to seek medical care in different regions.

This system is partitioned into seven exclusive stages: susceptible (S), exposed (E), asymptomatic (A), symptomatic (I), hospitalized (H), recovered (R), and dead (D). Susceptible individuals who are not infected by the disease can be infected by infected individuals, namely, asymptomatic individuals and symptomatic individuals, in many ways. However, they go through an incubation period before they become infected individuals. Individuals in this period are known as exposed individuals. Although they already have the virus in their bodies, the viruses do not come into play and they can not pass it to other susceptible individuals and symptomatic individuals can be divided into asymptomatic individuals and symptomatic individuals according to whether their bodies are accompanied by symptoms. Considering asymptomatic individuals are harder to detect unless they have nucleic acid detection, we assume that asymptomatic individuals do not go to the hospital voluntarily, thus the difference between asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals is that only symptomatic individuals have a choice to go to the hospital for treatment.

The interactions among these healthy statuses are shown in Fig 1. More specifically, susceptible individuals can be infected and become exposed individuals when they encounter infected individuals with the probability. The transmission rates are β and β , respectively, when infected by symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. After the incubation period of 1/ days, q of the exposed individuals become symptomatic individuals, and the rest turn into



Fig 1. Graphical scheme representing the interactions among different stages of infection in the mathematical model.

asymptomatic individuals. Since the cure rate is lower and the death rate is higher when the healthcare system collapses. Thus, for symptomatic individuals, whether to go to the hospital depends on the Fermi function. That is, $P(\mu_2(t), \delta_2(t))$ represents the probability that symptomatic individuals go to the hospital.

$$P(\mathbf{m}_{2}(t); \mathbf{d}_{2}(t)) = \frac{m}{1 + exp((\mathbf{d}_{2}(t) - \mathbf{m}_{2}(t)) = \mathbf{K})};$$
(1)

where $\mu_2(t)$ and $\delta_2(t)$ measure the cure rate and the death rate, which also determine the probability of hospitalized individuals transforming into recovered individuals and death individuals. K is the amplitude of noise or its inverse ratio is the so-called selection intensity, generally K = 0.1 [38, 39]. Hospitalization is more likely to be chosen when the cure rate exceeds the death rate in the hospital. According to surveys from different countries [40], we introduce the regulatory factor of individual will *m* to regulate the probability that individuals go to the hospital. A large *m* indicates that individuals are more likely to the hospital.

The mathematical model describing the above process is given in Eq.(2). The two timevarying factors $\mu_2(t)$ and $\delta_2(t)$ are dependent on the number of hospitalized individuals H(t)and the level of medical resources α in the healthcare system. For example, the cure rate is high and the death rate is low when medical resources are adequate. There are several research proposed a specific functional model for modeling infectious rates and cure rate, and using real data to estimate the involved parameters [41, 42], however, our model here only uses its dependence on H(t) and α to characterize their relationship and estimate them through the model, which is more flexible.

In Eq.(2), *s* and *r* regulate the sensitivity change of the cure rate and death rate, respectively. Note that $\mu_2(t)$ and $\delta_2(t)$ have range where the value of $\mu_2(t)$ can be replaced by $\mu_{min}(\mu_{max})$ when the cure rate is less

1				
Symbol	Description			
<i>S</i> (<i>t</i>)	the fraction of susceptible individuals			
<i>E</i> (<i>t</i>)	the fraction of exposed individuals			
<i>I</i> (<i>t</i>)	the fraction of symptomatic individuals			
A(t)	the fraction of asymptomatic individuals			
H(t)	the fraction of confirmed individuals that to be tested and to be sent to the hospital			
D(t)	the fraction of dead individuals			
R(t)	the fraction of recovered individuals			
	the contact rate among infected individuals and susceptible individuals			
β	infection rate of symptomatic infected			
	the ratio of infection rate of symptomatic infected and infection rate of asymptomatic infected			
	incubation rate for the transition from exposed to infected			
m	regulatory factor of individual will			
δ_1	death rate (from infected individuals)			
μ_1	cure rate (from infected individuals)			
$\delta_2(t)$	death rate (from individuals in the hospital)			
$\mu_2(t)$	cure rate (from individuals in the hospital)			
δ_{max}	the maximum death rate (from individuals in the hospital)			
δ_{min}	the minimum of death rate (from individuals in the hospital)			
μ _{max}	the maximum cure rate (from individuals in the hospital)			
μ_{min}	the minimum of cure rate (from individuals in the hospital)			
q	the ratio of symptomatic infection			
α	the hospital resource level			
r	regulatory factor of death rate			
S	regulatory factor of cure rate			

Table 1. The parameter explanation in the model.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280067.t001

This model is a bilinear system with nine differential equations. Here, the system is positive, that is to say, the fraction of all the states and rates are non-negative values. To meet the mass conservation property of the system, an important rule is that the sum of change from all states (i.e. sum of the first seven terms on the left side of Eq (2)) equals zeros since

$$S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + A(t) + H(t) + D(t) + R(t) = Nt$$

In Eq.2, the death rate and cure rate are dependent on time t which can well reflect the real situations.

For further analysis, we solve the basic reproductive number of an infection of the system. The basic reproductive number of an infection is the expected number of cases directly generated by one case in a population where all individuals are susceptible to infection. To calculate it, we adopt the next generation matrix approach [46, 47]. By using the notations as [47], it follows that the matrices \mathcal{F} of new infection terms and \mathcal{V} of the remaining transfer terms associated with the model are given:

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & yb \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 & 0 \\ -Sq_a & d_1 + m_1 + P(m_2; d_2) & 0 \\ -S(1-q) & 0 & d_1 + m_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

By solving the maximum eigenvalue of \mathcal{FV}^{-1} , the basic reproduction number of the model marked by \mathcal{R}_0 is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{0} = \frac{bq}{d_{1} + m_{1} + P(m_{2}; d_{2})} - \frac{by(q-1)}{d_{1} + m_{1}}$$
(5)

Results

0.1 Simulation results

In Fig 2, we provide the predicted cumulative number of confirmed cases (left) and deaths (right) which is the function of m and β . Experience tells us that a pandemic with a low infectious rate can be controlled easily, which can be verified by Fig 2. The medical system remains stable and in the normal range, even at high values of m, when β is low. A higher value in m predicts a lower the predicted cumulative number of confirmed cases and deaths. These phenomena indicate that m mitigates pandemic outbreaks given a less rate of transmission. The impact of m can be double-edged when infection rates are high. On the one hand, lower levels of it can contain outbreaks and keep the cumulative number of deaths low. On the other hand, high values in m can, however, cause an increase in confirmed cases and deaths due to a broken medical system.

We study how factors related to medical resources affect the diseases results in Fig 3. The critical factors in the model are m and α . A high m indicates that infected individuals prefer to go to the hospital. α represents the medical resource level. When the total number of infections









https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280067.g003

is constant, the increment in α pushes the cumulative number of confirmed cases to higher values until it reaches a stable value and makes the cumulative number of death cases reach a low value, suggesting adequate medical treatment can reduce death rates. While, once the medical resource is above a certain level, it does not work. In other words, even given α a higher value, the cumulative number of confirmed cases and death stay at certain values. These results can be easily understood if we notice that increasing proportions from the infected individuals to patients in the hospital is a constant value. The fraction of patients depends on m. Under the normal operating condition of the medical system, improving the value of m always lowers the cumulative number of confirmed cases and death, indicating that a higher of m facilitates the control of the pandemic.

In Fig 4, we plotted the basic reproductive number \mathcal{R}_0 for different values of β and m. Reasonably, for all values of m, the \mathcal{R}_0 grows with the increase in virus transmissibility. m also plays an inhibitory role in the spreading dynamics. In other words, m support a low epidemic size and thus also a smaller \mathcal{R}_0 .

0.2 Real example

As examples of the performance of the model, we examine the early stage of the pandemic in eight countries (the United States of America, Brazil, Britain, China, Germany, Italy, Japan, and Spanish). In these countries, the medical resource level presents an optimal value in Japan. Thus, in this section, we select Japan as an example to verify our model. The parameters in Table 1 are estimated by minimizing the mean square error between predicted values from the model (2) and real observations and solved by grid search algorithm [48]. The estimators of parameters are given in Table 3, where we only selected the data in the early 90 days after the outbreak since our purpose is to study the maintenance of medical resources in the early stage



Fig 4. The effect of behavior on the basic reproductive number. The basic reproductive number \mathcal{R}_0 as function of regulatory factor of hospital resource level m and β . Other parameters are shown in Table 2.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280067.g004

of the outbreak of COVID-19. The details of data collection and algorithm are given in additional information. At the initialized time, only infected individuals and susceptible show in the system, and the initial number of infected individuals are the same as the initial infected cases reported by governments (the time that cases are reported by governments is listed in

Symbol	Value
Ν	20000000
μ _{max}	0.3
μ _{min}	0.05
μ_1	0.096 [43]
δ_{max}	0.02
δ_{min}	0.005
δ_1	0.00625 [43]
α	0.01
β	1.02 [43]
q	0.18 [44]
m	0.31
r	0.2
S	0.3
	0.000056 [45]
	1/7 [45]
	0.447 [44]

Table 2. Parameter in the model.

Symbol	Fermi function	conformity-driven	No behavioral
μ_{max}	0.16	0.3	0.3
μ_{min}	0.1	0.005	0.1
μ_1	0.1	0.01	0.01
δ_{max}	0.025	0.06	0.06
δ_{min}	0.01	0.005	0.0015
δ_1	0.02	0.00625	0.00625
α	0.01	0.01	0.01
β	1	1	1
q	0.18	0.18	0.18
m	0.31	0.53	-
r	1.4e-3	0.2	1.4e-3
S	0.3	0.3	0.3
	1.9e-4	1.38e-4	1.3e-4
	0.6	0.6	0.6
	1e-5	1e-5	1e-5
Initial Data	Jan. 6th	Jan. 6th	Jan. 6th

Table 3. Parameter estimation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280067.t003

<u>Table 3</u>). In the left panel of <u>Fig 5</u>, the blue points represent realistic data and the red line represents the fitted data. As shown in the left panel of <u>Fig 5</u>, our model can fit the data very well on the cumulative number of diagnoses.

Furthermore, we look at the epidemic severity and estimated capacity of medical resources. Medical resource capacity is given by αN in our model which is the maximum number of people that can be accommodated by the hospital. We plot αN and hospitalized number with the time in the right panel of Fig 5 to show the number of medical resources. For convenience, we set the days that the first case was reported by the government as day 1. Except for the



Fig 5. In the left panel, the cumulative number of confirmed cases in Japan. The solid lines are obtained from the theoretical model, and the dots correspond to the real cases. In the right panel, the evolution of the in-patient number H (the bottom line) and medical resource capacity αN (the top line). The parameters in this model are selected from the fitting results in Table 3.

cumulative number of confirmed cases that can be regarded as a general measure of the epidemic severity, the days, in-patient number exceeds the local medical resources capacity, can also be an important index. In fact, Japan has its hospitalized patients (brown line) low obviously than the medical resource capacity whose value is 2530 (green line). The number of people infected is small, and the virus epidemic was not serious in the early stage of the virus outbreak.

The results of the above analyses indicate that our model accurately predicts confirmed cases in Japan. To further verify this approach, analysis for more countries is studied. These countries include the United States of America, Brazil, Germany, and Italy. S1 Table in S1 File summarizes the values of these parameters. In S1 Fig in S1 File, the blue points represent realistic data and the red line represents the fitted data. As shown in S1 Fig in S1 File, our model can fit the data very well on the cumulative number of diagnoses and the results of the experiment show that the proposed approach has good robustness. In this section, we consider only the Fermi function as a decision function. We also present results based on conformity-driven update rules and rules without behavioral aspects. Values of the estimated parameter can be seen in Table 3. These results indicate that high consistency between predicted cases and real data, see S2 Fig in S1 File. See S1 File (Robust Analysis) for details.

In Fig.6, we study the impact of the hospital resource level α on the pandemic of COVID-19 through simulations. Here, we vary the values of α but fix the other parameters at their estimated values in Table 3. Through simulations, we obtain the changes in the cumulative number of recovery and dead individuals in dependence on α over time in Fig.6. With the increase in medical resources, the number of recoveries increased but the number of deaths decreased, which conforms to common sense. In general, it is shown that the cumulative number of death is more sensitive than that of the cumulative number of recoveries, which implies that the medical resource level is important for decreasing the number of deaths. For the

Fig 6. The predicted cumulative number of recovery and death in dependence on the hospital resource level α over time in Japan. The real situation replaced by the parameters fitted by the model (shown in Table 3) are marked with solid black lines. Note that the curves of predicted cumulative numbers of recovery are overlapped or indistinguishable for some different α .





cumulative number of deaths, the situation of Japan can not be improved much even increase the medical resource levels but will cause matter if decreasing the medical resource level, where two curves with the high level ($\alpha = 0.02, 0.04$) overlap (or almost overlap) with real case ($\alpha = 0.01$).

According to the above discussion, we show that α has a remarkable effect on the cumulative number of recoveries and deaths, as illustrated in Fig.6. To reveal the combined effect of medical factors, we plot the cumulative number of recoveries (see left panel of Fig.7) and deaths (see right panel of Fig.7) as a function of the hospital resource level α and m. It is indicated by Fig.7 that improving m and α can ease outbreak. Whether increment occurs at α or m, it always produces extremely useful results where rates in cumulative morbidity and mortality reduce both. Whenever α and m exceed some limits, the pandemic level and the number of dead converge to zero, indicating that COVID-19 has disappeared. The one indicator (cumulative number of recoveries), experiences three-stage as α increasing: slow-growth, fast-growth, and second slow-growth. In the first stage, the cumulative number of recoveries increases gently as α increases. In the second stage, it climbs faster comparing the previous phase. At the last stage, the growth rate in the indicator becomes gentle, even nil with α increasing. For the lower-level regulatory factor of individuals will m, the toll is great. In particular, in the condition of $m \ge 0.1$, the highest cumulative death rate shows up when $\alpha = 0.03$.

In Fig 8, the cure rate and mortality as a function of time are listed. The trends in two rates with time present a similar variation and shows a negative relationship. More specifically, the cure rate goes to rise and mortality falls off at the same times for different α . The mortality presents a stable decrease with time and the difference for various α is obvious.

In addition, we further consider the effect of the contact rate among infected and susceptible individuals and display the temporal evolution of the cumulative number of recovery and dead individuals in dependence on in Fig 9. It is clear that a high contact rate increases obviously the cumulative number of recoveries and deaths. The relatively high contact rate leads to a high cumulative recovery number because the total number of infected groups has increased at this time. The cumulative number of recoveries and the number of deaths have a trend of slowing down.



Time

Fig 8. The evolution of the cure rate and mortality. The parameters in this model are selected from the fitting results in Table 3.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280067.g008

The evolution of the basic reproductive number \mathcal{R}_0 is shown in Fig 10. Although \mathcal{R}_0 increases again at the end of the early stage in Japan, its value does not exceed 2.3, which is also a lower level of the basic reproductive number. Thus, the epidemic in Japan is well controlled in the early stage.



Fig 9. The temporal evolution of the cumulative number of recovery and dead individuals predicted in dependence on contact rate . The real situation replaced by the parameters fitted by the model (shown in Table 3) are marked with solid black lines.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280067.g010

Conclusion

Previous works [49±52] have proved that medical resources appear some deficiencies when facing the pandemic, such as unfair allocation of scarce medical resources [50], medical resource deficiency [53], and so on, which will seriously reduce the efficiency of epidemic prevention. In this work, we propose the game-based SEAIHRD model with adjustable hospitalization rates to describe the dynamics of COVID-19. This model accounts for the effects of medical resource and incorporate the strategy of the susceptible patient into the model using the evolutionary game method. We also assume the cure rate and mortality are time-varying which is more reasonable in a realistic situation. By extensive simulation, we find that the role of α has limited. Once α is higher than certain values, it has little impact on the cumulative number of confirmed cases and death. The effect of α depends on the rate to go to the hospital. When the system is normal, the m play a positive role. However, facing the pandemic with a high infectious rate, m is a two-edged sword. A low level of m can contain the pandemic, but a high level makes the pandemic worse. To verify our model, we use real data to obtain the parameter estimates that can fit the model well. Through simulations, the number of medical resources is also assessed. The results show that Japan still has room to improve its medical resources to contain the pandemic efficiently. The findings bring a perspective to understanding the relationship between the transmission of epidemic and human behaviors. Our model provides an available tool to assess whether medical resources are adequate when facing a pandemic.

Pandemic containment depends on medical resources, government measures, human behaviors, and many other factors [54±58]. When an outbreak occurs, the breakdown of the health system will indirectly accelerate the outbreak epidemic. Therefore, how to evaluate the level of the medical system quickly and accurately can not only ensure the sufficiency of medical resources but also avoid excessive waste of resources. We only list the lines graph in studying the evolutionary dynamics of the pandemic, the phase graph also can be calculated similarly for involved parameters but is omitted in this paper since the lines graphs are enough

to study the problems we are interested in. In addition, we perform a sensitivity analysis with respect to model parameters in the above results.

Supporting information

S1 Data. (XLSX) S1 File. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yikang Lu, Lei Shi.

Funding acquisition: Yikang Lu, Yini Geng, Jun Lu, Lei Shi.

Methodology: Jun Lu.

Resources: Lei Shi.

Visualization: Keyu Guo, Jun Lu.

Writing ± original draft: Yikang Lu, Lei Shi.

Writing ± review & editing: Yikang Lu, Yini Geng, Lei Shi.

References

- Yuki K, Fujiogi M, Koutsogiannaki S. COVID-19 pathophysiology: A review. Clinical immunology. 2020; 215: 108427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2020.108427 PMID: 32325252
- Priesemann V, Balling R, Bauer S, Beutels P, Valdez AC, Cuschieri S, et al. Socio-demographic and health factors drive the epidemic progression and should guide vaccination strategies for best COVID-19 containment. Results in Physics. 2021; 26: 104433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.104433
- Roda WC, Varughese MB, Han D, Li MY. Why is it difficult to accurately predict the COVID-19 epidemic?. Infectious disease modelling. 2020; 5: 271±281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.03.001 PMID: 32289100
- Clark C, Davila A, Regis M, Kraus S. Predictors of COVID-19 voluntary compliance behaviors: An international investigation. Global transitions. 2020; 2: 76±82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glt.2020.06.003 PMID: 32835202
- Bao X, Ji P, Lin W, Perc M, Kurths J. The impact of COVID-19 on the worldwide air transportation network. Royal Society open science. 2021; 8(11): 210682. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.210682</u> PMID: 34804565
- Priesemann V, Balling R, Bauer S, Beutels P, Valdez AC, Cuschieri S, et al. Towards a European strategy to address the COVID-19 pandemic. Royal Society open science. 2021; 398(10303): 838±839. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01808-0 PMID: 34384539
- Yin L, Lu YK, Du CP, et al. Effect of vaccine efficacy on disease transmission with age-structured. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2022; 156: 111812. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111812</u> PMID: 35075336
- Feng S, Shen C, Xia N, Song W, Fan M, Cowling BJ. Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2020; 8(5):434±436. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20) 30134-X PMID: 32203710
- Greenhalgh T, Schmid MB, Czypionka T, Bassler D, Gruer L. Face masks for the public during the covid-19 crisis. Bmj. 2020; 369. PMID: 32273267
- Cheng KK, Lam TH, Leung CC. Wearing face masks in the community during the COVID-19 pandemic: altruism and solidarity. The Lancet. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30918-1 PMID: 32305074
- Pedersen MJ, Favero N. Social Distancing during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Who Are the Present and Future Noncompliers?. Public Administration Review. 2020; 80(5):805±814. https://doi.org/10.1111/ puar.13240 PMID: 32836442

- Thunstrm L, Newbold SC, Finnoff D, Ashworth M, Shogren JF. The benefits and costs of using social distancing to flatten the curve for COVID-19. Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis. 2020; 11(2):179±195. https://doi.org/10.1017/bca.2020.12
- Haynes BF, Corey L, Fernandes P, Gilbert PB, Hotez PJ, Rao S, et al. Prospects for a safe COVID-19 vaccine. Science translational medicine. 2020; 12(568). <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abe0948</u> PMID: 33077678
- Le TT, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, Román RG, Tollefsen S, Saville M, et al. The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020; 19(5):305±306. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-020-00073-5 PMID: 32273591
- Du Z, Xu X, Wang L, Fox SJ, Cowling BJ, Galvani AP, et al. Effects of proactive social distancing on COVID-19 outbreaks in 58 cities, China. Emerging infectious diseases. 2020; 26(9):2267. https://doi. org/10.3201/eid2609.201932 PMID: 32516108
- Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Lipsitch M, Grad Y. Social distancing strategies for curbing the COVID-19 epidemic. medRxiv. 2020; 2020.03.22.20041079.
- Mohler G, Bertozzi AL, Carter J, Short MB, Sledge D, Tita GE, et al. Impact of social distancing during COVID-19 pandemic on crime in Los Angeles and Indianapolis. Journal of Criminal Justice. 2020; 68:101692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2020.101692 PMID: 32501302
- Lau H, Khosrawipour V, Kocbach P, Mikolajczyk A, Schubert J, Bania J, et al. The positive impact of lockdown in Wuhan on containing the COVID-19 outbreak in China. Journal of travel medicine. 2020; 1±7. https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa037 PMID: 32181488
- Mahato S, Pal S, Ghosh KG. Effect of lockdown amid COVID-19 pandemic on air quality of the megacity Delhi, India. Science of the Total Environment. 2020; 730:139086. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.</u> 2020.139086 PMID: 32375105
- Atalan A. Is the lockdown important to prevent the COVID-19 pandemic? Effects on psychology, environment and economy-perspective. Annals of medicine and surgery. 2020; 56:38±42. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.amsu.2020.06.010 PMID: 32562476
- Howard J, Huang A, Li Z, Tufekci Z, Zdimal V, van der Westhuizen HM, et al. An evidence review of face masks against COVID-19. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2021; 118(4). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2014564118 PMID: 33431650
- Worby CJ, Chang HH. Face mask use in the general population and optimal resource allocation during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nature communications. 2020; 11(1): 1±9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17922-x</u>
- Chatzimanolakis M, Weber P, Arampatzis G, Wàchli D, et al. Optimal allocation of limited test resources for the quantification of COVID-19 infections. Swiss Medical Weekly. 2020; 150: w20445. https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2020.20445 PMID: 33327002
- Bubar KM, Reinholt K, Kissler SM, et al. Model-informed COVID-19 vaccine prioritization strategies by age and serostatus. Science. 2021; 371(6532): 916±921. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe6959</u> PMID: 33479118
- Awasthi R, Guliani K K, Khan S A, et al. Vacsim: Learning effective strategies for covid-19 vaccine distribution using reinforcement learning. Intelligence-Based Medicine. 2022; 100060. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ibmed.2022.100060 PMID: 35610985
- Piraveenan M, Sawleshwarkar S, Walsh M, et al. Optimal governance and implementation of vaccination programmes to contain the COVID-19 pandemic. Royal Society open science. 2021; 8(6): 210429. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.210429 PMID: 34113457
- 27. Parker F, Sawczuk H, Ganjkhanloo F, et al. Optimal resource and demand redistribution for healthcare systems under stress from COVID-19. arXiv. 2011; 2011.03528.
- Amaral MA, de Oliveira MM, Javarone MA. An epidemiological model with voluntary quarantine strategies governed by evolutionary game dynamics. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2021; 143:110616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110616 PMID: 33867699
- Fu F, Rosenbloom DI, Wang L, Nowak MA. Imitation dynamics of vaccination behaviour on social networks. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2011; 278(1702):42±49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1107</u> PMID: 20667876
- Lu Y, Geng Y, Gan W, Shi L. Impacts of conformist on vaccination campaign in complex networks. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications. 2019; 526:121124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.</u> 2019.121124
- Szolnoki A, Perc M. Conformity enhances network reciprocity in evolutionary social dilemmas. Journal of The Royal Society Interface. 2015; 12(103):20141299. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.1299</u> PMID: 25540242

- Zou R, Deng Z, Lu Y, Hu J, Han Z. Study of spreading phenomenon in network population considering heterogeneous property. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2021; 153: 111520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> chaos.2021.111520
- Shi L, Romić I, Ma Y, et al. Freedom of choice adds value to public goods. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2020; 117(30):17516±175211. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921806117 PMID: 32661169
- Jordan JJ, Yoeli E, Rand DG. Don't get it or don't spread it: Comparing self-interested versus prosocial motivations for COVID-19 prevention behaviors. Scientific reports. 2021; 11(1): 1±17. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-97617-5 PMID: 34642341</u>
- Ye Y, Zhang Q, Wei X, et al. Equitable access to COVID-19 vaccines makes a life-saving difference to all countries. Nature human behaviour. 2022; 6(2): 207±216. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01289-8</u> PMID: 35102361
- Zhu Z, Dong Y, Lu Y, et al. Information exchange promotes and jeopardizes cooperation on interdependent networks. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications. 2021; 569: 125772. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.physa.2021.125772
- Lan L, Xu D, Ye G, Xia C, Wang S, Li Y, et al. Positive RT-PCR test results in patients recovered from COVID-19. Jama. 2020; 323(15):1502±1503. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2783 PMID: 32105304
- SzabÅG, Tőke C. Evolutionary prisoner's dilemma game on a square lattice. Physical Review E. 1998; 58(1): 69. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.69
- Du C, Guo K, Lu Y, et al. Aspiration driven exit-option resolves social dilemmas in the network. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2023; 438: 127617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2022.127617
- Grimm CA. Hospital experiences responding to the COVID-19 pandemic: results of a national pulse survey March 23±27, 2020. US Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General. 2020; 41.
- Hasan A, Putri E R M, Susanto H, et al. Data-driven modeling and forecasting of COVID-19 outbreak for public policy making. ISA transactions. 2022; 124: 135±143. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2021.01</u>. 028 PMID: 33487397
- Weitz JS, Beckett SJ, Coenen AR, Demory D, Dominguez-Mirazo M, Dushoff J, et al. Modeling shield immunity to reduce COVID-19 epidemic spread. Nature medicine. 2020; 26(6):849±854. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41591-020-0895-3 PMID: 32382154
- 43. Viguerie A, Lorenzo G, Auricchio F, et al. Simulating the spread of COVID-19 via a spatially-resolved susceptible±exposed±infected±recovered±deceased (SEIRD) model with heterogeneous diffusion. Applied Mathematics Letters. 2021; 111, 106617. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2020.106617</u> PMID: 32834475
- Samui P, Mondal J, Khajanchi S. A mathematical model for COVID-19 transmission dynamics with a case study of India. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2020; 140, 110173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.</u> 2020.110173 PMID: 32834653
- Sarkar K, Khajanchi S, Nieto JJ. Modeling and forecasting the COVID-19 pandemic in India. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2020; 139, 110049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110049
- 46. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JAP, Metz JAJ. On the definition and the computation of the basic reproduction ratio R 0 in models for infectious diseases in heterogeneous populations. Journal of mathematical biology. 1990; 28(4): 365±382. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00178324 PMID: 2117040
- Van den Driessche P, Watmough J. Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. Mathematical biosciences. 2002; 180(1-2): 29±48. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5564(02)00108-6 PMID: 12387915
- Kim J. Iterated grid search algorithm on unimodal criteria. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University; 1997.
- 49. Grover S, McClelland A, Furnham A. Preferences for scarce medical resource allocation: Differences between experts and the general public and implications for the COVID-19 pandemic. British journal of health psychology. 2020; 25(4):889±901. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12439 PMID: 32562512
- Fallucchi F, Faravelli M, Quercia S. Fair allocation of scarce medical resources in the time of COVID-19: what do people think?. Journal of medical ethics. 2021; 47(1):3±6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/</u> medethics-2020-106524 PMID: 33046588
- Semenova Y, Pivina L, Khismetova Z, et al. Anticipating the need for healthcare resources following the escalation of the COVID-19 outbreak in the Republic of Kazakhstan. Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health. 2020; 53(6):387. https://doi.org/10.3961/jpmph.20.395 PMID: 33296578
- Semenova Y, Glushkova N, Pivina L, et al. Epidemiological characteristics and forecast of COVID-19 outbreak in the Republic of Kazakhstan. Journal of Korean medical science. 2020; 35(24). https://doi. org/10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e227 PMID: 32567261

- Sha D, Miao X, Lan H, Stewart K, Ruan S, Tian Y, et al. Spatiotemporal analysis of medical resource deficiencies in the US under COVID-19 pandemic. PloS one. 2020; 15(10):e0240348. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0240348</u> PMID: 33052956
- Tisdell C A. Economic, social and political issues raised by the COVID-19 pandemic. Economic analysis and policy. 2020; 68: 17±28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2020.08.002 PMID: 32843816
- 55. Omoleke SA, Mohammed I, Saidu Y. Ebola viral disease in West Africa: a threat to global health, economy and political stability. Journal of public health in Africa. 2016; 7(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.</u> 2016.534 PMID: 28299152
- Godio A, Pace F, Vergnano A. SEIR modeling of the Italian epidemic of SARS-CoV-2 using computational swarm intelligence. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17 (10):3535. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17103535 PMID: 32443640
- 57. Bellman R. Mathematical methods in medicine. World Scientific; 1983.
- Marino S, Hogue I B, Ray C J, et al. A methodology for performing global uncertainty and sensitivity analysis in systems biology. Journal of theoretical biology. 2008; 254(1): 178±196. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jtbi.2008.04.011 PMID: 18572196